

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/580,803	05/30/00	KLAGSBRUN		M	701039-48802
-			\neg	EXAMINER	
		HM12/0731	•		
DAVID S RESI	NICK			MICKOL C	
NIXON & PEABODY LLP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
101 FEDERAL BOSTON MA 0:	PLAZA 2210			1642 DATE MAILED:	Q
				07/31/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		KLAGSBRUN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	09/580,803	Art Unit					
<i></i>	Examiner Coas D. Nieles I Di	l i	•				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Gary B. Nickol Ph						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
, <u> </u>	— · nis action is non-fi	nal	•				
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			s is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4 \	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 6					
2) Notice of References Clied (PTO-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: fax sheet.					

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-17 are pending in the application and are currently under prosecution.

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Anthony Caputa, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at 703-308-3995. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 3-4, drawn to a neuropilin antagonist, classified in class 514, subclass 1.
- II. Claims 2, 5-6 drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- III. Claims 7-8, drawn to a method for identifying an antagonist, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- IV. Claims 9-16 drawn to a method for inhibiting metastasis in a patient comprising adding a compound, classified in class 424, subclasses 130.1, 184.1.

V. Claim 17, drawn to use of a member of the semaphorine/collapsin family in the preparation of a medicament for the treatment of a disease or disorder, classified in class 514, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The Inventions of Groups I-II represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects.

The Inventions of Groups III-IV are materially distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success.

The inventions of Groups II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (I) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In the instant case the antibody product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as affinity chromatography.

The inventions of Groups I and III-V are not at all related because the antagonist of Group I is not used in any of the methods of Groups III-V.

Art Unit: 1642

The inventions of Groups II and (III, V) are not at all related because the antibody of Group II is

not used in any of Groups III, and V.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper. Furthermore, because these inventions are distinct for the

reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for another group,

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

Group II (Claim 6) and/or Group III (Claim 8) are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably

distinct species comprising the following: NP-1 or NP-2.

The products of the above species represent separate and distinct molecules with different

structures and functions such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such,

each species would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability

issues.

Group IV is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the

following:

(a) a compound which interferes with the binding activity of the neuropilin (Claim 10)

Page 4

Art Unit: 1642

(b) a compound that interferes with neuropilin expression (Claim 12)

The steps and reagents of the above species are completely distinct and impart different biological functions and uses such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such, each species would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

Group IV (Claim 11) is further generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following: neuropilin or a neuropilin antagonist

The products of the above species represent separate and distinct molecules with different structures and functions such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such, each species would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

Group IV (Claim 15) is further generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following: breast cell, prostate cell, or melanoma.

The above species represent separate and distinct cell types with different morphologies and functions such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such, each species would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

Group IV (Claim 16) is further generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following: VEGF₁₆₅R/NP-1 or NP-2

Page 5

Art Unit: 1642

The products of the above species represent separate and distinct molecules with different structures and functions such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such, each species would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37

CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-7143.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular

communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Gary B. Nickol, Ph.D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1642

GBN July 25, 2001

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 7